



AFGL-TR-79-0067

AE REACTION RATE DATA

A. Dalgarno

Harvard College Observatory Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Final Report

July 1 - December 31, 1978

DDC
PREPUTATION
APR 27 1979

SEESTIVE
A

March 27, 1979

This research has been funded in part by the Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA) under subtask S99QAXHD028, Work Unit 46 entitled "Theoretical Investigations of Disturbed Ionospheres."

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND UNITED STATES AIR FORCE HANSCOM AFB, MASSACHUSETTS 01731

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. PECIP'ENT'S CATALOG NUMBER AFGL#TR-79-0067 4. TITLE (und Subtitle) OF REPORT A PERIOD COVERED Final Repet. AE REACTION RATE DATA Jula 31-Dec 7. AUTHOR(a) B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4) F19628-78-C-0182 A./ Dalgarno New 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS
Harvard Coll Observatory PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK 62704 H (16/899@AZ Cambridge, Maccount 02138 D028CDAA 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE 79 Mar Air Force Geophysics Laboratory Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts 01731 3. NUMBER OF PAGES Monitor/William Swider/LKD 28 RESS(II different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research has been funded in part by the Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA) under subtask S99QAXHDO28, Work Unit 46 entitled "Theoretical Investigations of Disturbed Ionospheres." 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ionosphere, chemical reactions, rate coefficients 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

A summary is presented of the ionospheric rate coefficients that are derived from Atmosphere Explorer data taking into account laboratory and theoretical studies. A rate coefficient of $2.2 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ has been derived for the reaction $N^+ + O + N + O^+$ at about 840K, with a probable accuracy of 20%. It is established that the reaction $O^+ + N(^2D) + O + N^+$ is a significant source of N^+ ions. The corresponding rate coefficient is about $1.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. The associative ionization reaction $N(^2D) + O + NO^+ + e$ is not important in the undisturbed atmosphere.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified 163 450

CURITY CI ASSIFICATION OF THE BACE

1

Abstract A summary is presented of the ionospheric rate coefficients that are derived from Atmosphere Explorer data taking into account laboratory and theoretical studies. A rate coefficient of $2.2 \times 10^{-12} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ has been derived for the reaction $\mathrm{N}^+ + \mathrm{O} + \mathrm{N} + \mathrm{O}^+$ at about 840K, with a probable accuracy of 20%. It is established that the reaction $\mathrm{O}^+ + \mathrm{N}(^2\mathrm{D}) + \mathrm{O} + \mathrm{N}^+$ is a significant source of N^+ ions. The corresponding rate coefficient is about $1.3 \times 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The associative ionization reaction $\mathrm{N}(^2\mathrm{D}) + \mathrm{O} + \mathrm{NO}^+ + \mathrm{e}$ is not important in the undisturbed atmosphere.

1. Introduction

recently (Albritton 1978, Ferguson et al. 1979).

The Atmosphere Explorer satellites carried a complement of instruments to measure simultaneously the ion and neutral composition of the atmosphere, the electron and neutral particle temperatures, various airglow emissions and the solar ionizing flux (Dalgarno et al. 1973). Detailed theoretical analyses have been performed from which empirical values of the rate coefficients of atmospheric ion-molecule reactions have been derived. The AE results have been reviewed recently (Torr and Torr 1978, Swider 1978). Laboratory studies have continued and they have also been reviewed

MANHAMINGEN

AVAIL and/or SPECIA

We present here a critical comparison of the atmospheric and laboratory data and we report on the results of our analysis of AE data that has led to an empirical value of the rate coefficient for the charge transfer reaction

$$N^{+} + O + N + O^{+}$$

We introduce as a new source of N⁺ the reaction

$$0^{+} + N(^{2}D) \rightarrow 0 + N^{+}$$

and show that it is capable of explaining the AE composition data on N^+ .

- 2. Reaction rate coefficients
- 2.1 Ground state O⁺(⁴S) ions

$$o^{+}(^{4}s) + N_{2} + No^{+} + N$$
 (1)

A detailed discussion of the rate coefficient, k_1 , of reaction (1) has been given by Torr and Torr (1978). The rate coefficient depends upon the vibrational population of N_2 and on the ion temperature T_i . At 300K, laboratory measurements (cf. Albritton et al. 1977, Smith et al. 1978,

Glosik et al. 1978, Chen et al. 1978) all now agree that $k_1 = 1.2 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. Using a heated drift-tube mass spectrometer apparatus in which the vibrational population of N2 is characterized by the kinetic temperature of the gas and ions, Chen et al. (1978) have measured k_1 up to 900K obtaining there a value of $0.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. The values of k_1 tend to be smaller than the heated afterglow results of Lindinger et al. (1974), though within the large scatter of the afterglow data, but they agree well with the flow-drift data of Albritton et al. (1977). In the flow-drift measurements, the vibrational population corresponds to 300 K and only the ion temperature is elevated. The agreement between the measurements of Chen et al. and Albritton et al. suggests that vibrational populations corresponding to temperatures less than 900 K have little effect on the rate coefficients. The AE analyses give reliable information only at an ion temperature of about 750 K and the derived rate coefficient is in harmony with the measured value. St.-Maurice and Torr (1978) have used the data of Albritton et al. (1977) to calculate k_1 as a function of T.

The measurements of Albritton et al. (1977) show that a rapid increase in the rate coefficient occurs at temperatures above about 1000 K. It is not yet clear how much of the increase is due to the increasing population of the higher vibrational levels but it seems likely that the rate coefficient

for reaction of 0^+ with N_2 in a particular vibrational level does increase with increasing velocity after passing through a minimum. In atmospheric conditions, the ion velocity distribution may not be Maxwellian (cf. St.-Maurice and Schunk 1979). St.-Maurice and Torr (1978) have drawn attention to the sensitivity of k_1 to departures from Maxwellian.

$$0^{+}(^{4}s) + 0_{2} + 0_{2}^{+} + 0$$
 (2)

At 300 K, laboratory data are now all consistent with a rate coefficient k₂ of 2.1x10⁻¹¹cm³s⁻¹. In their experiments in which thermal equilibrium characterizes the vibrational populations, Chen et al. (1978) measured a slow decrease of k₂ with increasing T to a value of 1.0x10⁻¹¹cm³s⁻¹ at T=700 K. Their results agree closely with the heated afterglow values of Lindinger et al. (1974) but are systematically less by the order of 30% than the flow-drift data of Albritton et al. (1977). According to Chen et al. (1978), the discrepancy suggests either that the rate coefficient decreases with increasing vibrational excitation or that the conversion of the flow-drift cross section data to thermal rate coefficients is inaccurate. St.-Maurice and Torr (1978) have studied the effect on k₂ of different ion-velocity distributions.

Analyses of AE data are not sufficiently accurate to distinguish between the two sets of measurements and are consistent within the uncertainties with both.

$$0^{+}(^{4}s) + NO \rightarrow NO^{+} + O$$
 (3)

AE analyses have not given any estimates of k_3 . St.-Maurice and Torr (1978) have used cross sections measured by Albritton et al. (1977) to calculate k_3 as a function of T.

$$0^{+}(^{4}s) + N(^{2}D) \rightarrow 0 + N^{+}$$
 (4)

A comprehensive analysis of the AE data on N⁺ that we have performed has established that reaction (4) is a major source of N⁺ ions. We have derived a rate coefficient k_4 of $1.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to a probable accuracy of 50%. An examination of the potential energy curves of NO⁺ (Michels 1979) shows a close approach of the $^5\Sigma^+$ curves dissociating into the initial and final states of reaction (4) and avoided crossings of the $^3\Pi$ states and $^3\Delta$ states, suggesting that the reaction will indeed be rapid.

2.2 Metastable O⁺(²P, ²D) ions.

$$o^{+}(^{2}P) + N_{2} + o^{+}(^{4}S) + N_{2}$$
 (5)

$$+ N_2^+ + 0$$
 (6)

AE data on emission of the 7320-7330 Å doublet originating in the metastable $O^+(^2P)$ level have been analyzed to yield $k_5 + k_6 = (4.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Rusch et al. 1977). Analyses of N_2^+ data (Oppenheimer et al. 1977) suggest that $k_5 = 4 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_6 = 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ but these separate estimates are much less accurate than is their sum. The laboratory data of Glosik et al. (1978) strongly suggest in fact that charge transfer is more likely than quenching. The large quenching coefficient k_5 was required by Oppenheimer et al. to avoid too substantial a source of N_2^+ at high altitudes. The discrepancy with laboratory data can be resolved by postulating a larger rate coefficient for deactivation of $O^+(^2P)$ by atomic oxygen:

$$0^{+}(^{2}P) + 0 \rightarrow 0^{+}(^{4}S) + 0$$
 (7)

Rusch et al. (1977) derived a rate coefficient for reaction (7) of $(5.2\pm2.5)\times10^{-11}$ cm³s⁻¹ whereas Oppenheimer

et al. (1977) appear to require a value in excess of $2x10^{-10}$ cm³s⁻¹. The contribution to $0^+(^2P)$ production by photoelectron impact

$$e + 0 + e + 0^{+}(^{2}P) + e$$

is one source of the discrepancy between the different analyses of the AE data. Alternatively ${\rm O}^+(^2{\rm P})$ may undergo reaction with N₂ according to the channel

$$0^{+}(^{2}P) + N_{2} \rightarrow N^{+} + NO$$
 (8)

Our analysis of the N $^+$ composition suggests a rate coefficient of $1.3 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ though the value is not yet secure.

AE data have provided no data on the reactions of $O^+(^2P)$ with O_2 :

$$o^{+}(^{2}P) + o_{2} + o_{2}^{+} + o$$
 (9)

$$+ o^{+}(^{4}s) + o_{2}$$
 (10)

For (9), Glosik et al. (1978) measure a rate coefficient of $1.3 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ for a mixture of $0^+ (^2\text{P})$ and $0^+ (^2\text{D})$ ions. By assuming that

$$o^{+}(^{2}P) + N \rightarrow N^{+} + o(^{1}S)$$
 (11)

$$+ N^{+}(^{1}S) + 0$$
 (12)

is a source of $O(^1S)$ atoms, AE observations of the given line at 5577 Å have yielded (Torr et al. 1975) a value for $k_{11} + k_{12}$ of 1.7×10^{-9} cm³s⁻¹.

AE data provide no information on

$$0^{+}(^{2}P) + NO \rightarrow NO^{+} + O$$
 (13)

The laboratory data of Glosik et al. (1978) are consistent with $k_{13}=1.2 \times 10^{-9} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ though this value could be attributed to reaction with $\mathrm{O}^+(^2\mathrm{D})$ ions.

$$0^{+}(^{2}D) + N_{2} \rightarrow 0^{+}(^{4}S) + N_{2}$$
 (14)

$$\rightarrow N_2^+ + 0 \tag{15}$$

From AE-C observations of N_2^+ , Torr and Orsini (1977) derived a value of $(5^{+}2.5) \times 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for k_{15} . The value is larger than the rate coefficient of $1.4 \times 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ measured for a mixture of $0^+(^2\mathrm{D})$ and $0^+(^2\mathrm{P})$ ions (Glosik et al. 1978). Torr and Orsini (1977) have probably underestimated the uncertainties in the theoretical analysis. Indeed a more

recent study of AE data by Orsini et al. (1977) suggests a value of k_{15} between $5 \times 10^{-11} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $3 \times 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Orsini et al. (1977) also derive a rate coefficient for reaction (14) between zero and $0.5 k_{15}$ which is within its very broad limits consistent with the value of $1.5 \times 10^{-11} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ suggested by laboratory data (Glosik et al. 1978).

$$0^{+}(^{2}D) + 0 \rightarrow 0^{+}(^{4}S) + 0$$
 (16)

The most recent study of AE data (Torr and Orsini 1978) yields an upper limit $k_{16}^{<<3} \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ consistent with quantum mechanical considerations.

$$O^{+}(^{2}D) + O_{2} + O_{2}^{+} + O$$
 (17)

Torr et al. (1979) have argued that reaction (17) is an important source of 0_2^+ under certain atmospheric conditions and have derived from AE data on 0_2^+ composition a value of k_{17} of $2 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$, a value which seems large in view of the laboratory data of Glosik et al. (1978) indicating $1.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. The accuracy of the empirical value of Torr et al. (1979) is probably low.

$$0^{+}(^{2}D) + NO + O + NO^{+}$$
 (18)

AE observations have not yielded any information on reaction (18). Glosik et al. (1978) indicate a rate coefficient of $1.2 \times 10^{-9} \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ if $0^+ (^2 \, \text{D})$ and $0^+ (^2 \, \text{P})$ react at a similar rate.

$$o^{+}(^{2}D) + N + O + N^{+}$$
 (19)

Our analysis of AE data on is consistent with an upper limit for k_{19} of $3 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. The actual value is probably much smaller.

 $2.3 ext{ N}_2^+ ions$

$$N_2^+ + O + NO^+ + N$$
 (20)

$$+ N_2 + O^+$$
 (21)

The most refined estimate of k_{20} from AE data is probably that of Torr and Orsini (1978) who find that

$$k_{20} = 1.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-10} (Ti/300)^{-0.44}$$
.

Frederick and Rusch (1977) have shown from an analysis of NI 5199 ${}^{\circ}$ A emission measured by AE that essentially every reaction of (20) leads to an N(2 D) atom. The AE rate coefficient is consistent with laboratory data (McFarland et al. 1974). According to laboratory data, $k_{20}/k_{21}=0.95$, relatively independent of T so that k_{21} may be written in the form

$$k_{21} = 7.4 \times 10^{-12} (Ti/300)^{-0.44}$$
.
 $N_2^+ + O_2^- + N_2^- + O_2^+$ (22)

Reaction (22) is not very important at the altitudes of the AE satellites. The laboratory value at room temperature of k_{22} is $5.1 \times 10^{-11} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Smith et al. 1978).

$$N_2^+ + NO \rightarrow N_2^- + NO^+$$
 (23)

A value for k_{23} of $3.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ at 300 K has been obtained from laboratory data by Fehsenfeld et al. (1970).

$$N_2^+ + N + N_2 + N^+$$
 (24)

79 04 26 380

An upper limit to k_{24} of $1 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ has been given by Ferguson (1968). It is consistent with our analysis of AE data on the N⁺ composition.

2.4 Ground state 02 ions

$$O_2^+ + NO + O_2^- + NO^+$$
 (25)

The rate coefficient of reaction (25) has not been derived from AE data. The AE data are consistent with the laboratory value for k_{25} of $4.8 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (Lindinger et al 1975, Smith et al 1978).

$$O_2^+ + N \rightarrow O + NO^+$$
 (26)

Laboratory data on reaction (26) (Fehsenfeld 1977) give $k_{26} = 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, consistent within the uncertainties with the rate coefficient of $1 \times 10^{-10} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ obtained from AE data by Torr et al. (1976). Frederick et al. (1976) and Kopp et al. (1977) have estimated from AE data the rate coefficient for the specific channel in (26) which produces $O(^1\mathrm{S})$ atoms (cf. Swider 1978).

$$O_2^+ + N(^2D) + O_2^- + N^+$$
 (27)

There are no laboratory data on reaction (27). It may be possible with further analysis to devise a rate coefficient from AE data. Dalgarno (1970) has suggested a value of $4 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ but it is very uncertain.

2.5 Metastable $o_2^+(a^4\Pi_u)$ ions.

$$o_2^+(a^4\Pi_u) + o \rightarrow o_2^+(x^2\Pi_g) + o$$
 (28)

Atmosphere Explorer data are generally consistent with a rapid deactivation of O_2^+ metastable ions and reveal no evidence of a participating O_2^+ ion that is not in its ground state (Oppenheimer et al. 1977b). The reaction (28) may have a rate coefficient of about $10^{-9} \, \mathrm{cm}^3 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Laboratory measurements have been made of the reactions

$$O_2^+(a^4\Pi_u) + N_2 + N_2^+ + O_2$$
 (29)

$$o_2^+(a^4\Pi_u) + o_2^+ + o_2^+(x^2\Pi_g) + o_2^-$$
 (30)

$$o_2^+(a^4\pi_u) + NO + o_2^+ + NO^+$$
 (31)

The measured rate coefficients are $k_{29} = 4.1\pm1.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Lindinger et al 1975) or $6.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Glosik et al 1978), $k_{30} = 3.1\pm1.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Lindinger et al, 1975) or

4.6 x 10^{-10} cm³s⁻¹ (Glosik et al. 1978) and $k_{31} = 1.0 \times 10^{-9}$ cm³s⁻¹ (Glosik et al. 1978). Ferguson (1979) has noted the possible importance in the F region of

$$o_2^+(a^4\Pi_u) + o \rightarrow o^+ + o_2$$
 (32)

It may be possible to obtain an empirical value from AE data

2.6 Metastable NO⁺ ions

Metastable NO⁺ ions may play a role especially in the disturbed ionosphere. AE data provide no information. Laboratory measurements have been made of the reactions of NO⁺($a^3\Sigma^+$) ions:

$$NO^{+}(a^{3}\Sigma^{+}) + N_{2} + N_{2}^{+} + NO$$
 (33)

$$\rightarrow NO^{+}(x^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + N_{2}$$
 (34)

$$NO^{+}(a^{e}\Sigma^{+}) + O_{2} + NO^{+}(X^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + N_{2}$$
 (35)

(Glosik et al. 1978, Dotan et al. 1979.) The rate coefficients are $k_{33} + k_{34} = 3.2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{35} = 5.0 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$.

2.7. N⁺ ions

During the period of this contract, we have studied the \mbox{N}^{+} composition in detail. The ions are removed by the reactions

$$N^+ + O_2^- + NO^+ + O$$
 (36)

$$+ N + O_2^+ \tag{37}$$

$$+ NO + O^{+}$$
 (38)

In the analysis of the AE data we adopted the laboratory values (Smith et al. 1978) of $k_{36}=2.6\times10^{-10}\,\mathrm{cm}^3\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $k_{37}=3.1\times10^{-10}\,\mathrm{cm}^3\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{38}=3.7\times10^{-11}\,\mathrm{cm}^3\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. At higher altitudes, N⁺ is also removed by

$$N^+ + O \rightarrow N + O^+$$
 (39)

$$N^+ + H \to N + H^+$$
 (40)

Our analysis of AE N⁺ data, particularly those taken during AE-C orbits 594 U and 2743 D leads to $k_{39} = 2.2 \times 10^{-12}$ cm³s⁻¹ and $k_{40} = 3.6 \times 10^{-12}$ cm³s⁻¹. The probable uncertainty in k_{39} is 20% and of k_{40} 30%. The value of k_{40} is in harmony with that derived from an analysis of observations of the planetary nebula NGC 7027 (Pequignot et al. 1978) and with a priori theoretical calculations (Butler and Dalgarno 1979).

The atmospheric temperature at which k_{39} was derived was about 840 K. Neynaber et al. (1977) have carried out preliminary measurements on reaction (39) which yield a cross section between $2 \times 10^{-17} \text{cm}^2$ and $5 \times 10^{-18} \text{cm}^2$ at energies between 5.0 and 0.5 eV. These measurements are at least not in contradiction to our derived rate coefficients.

2.8 Doubly-charged 0++ ions

$$0^{++} + 0 \rightarrow 0^{+} + 0^{+}$$
 (41)

Breig et al. (1977) have analyzed the AE data on 0^{++} and have concluded that $k_{41} = 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$. The analysis ignores the reaction

$$0^{++} + N_2 \rightarrow \text{products},$$
 (42)

recently measured by Johnsen and Biondi (1978), and neglects a potentially large source of 0⁺⁺ (Victor 1979). A more careful study is needed before the empirical value derived from AE data can be accepted as plausible.

3. Associative ionization

$$N(^{2}D) + O \rightarrow NO^{+} + e$$
 (43)

It has been suggested by Zipf (1978) that reaction (43), which is endothermic by only 0.4 eV, may have important consequences on the derivations of the recombination coefficient of NO⁺ and on the conclusions about the deactivation of N(²D). We have used the cross sections measured by Ringer (1978) to calculate the rate coefficients of reaction (43). The results are given in table 1. The reaction may be important at higher temperatures but is negligible in the normal atmosphere.

Table 1

Rate coefficient for $N(^2D) + O + NO^+ + e$

T	$k (cm^3 s^{-1})$
500	5.0x10 ⁻¹⁷
1000	4.6×10^{-15}
1500	2.4×10^{-14}
2000	6.0×10^{-14}
2500	1.1x10 ⁻¹³
3000	1.8x10 ⁻¹³
3500	2.6x10 ⁻¹³
4000	3.5×10^{-13}
4500	4.5×10^{-13}
5000	5.7×10^{-13}

References

- Albritton, D.L. 1978 Atomic Data and Nuclear Tables 22, 1.
- Breig, E.L., Torr, M.R., Torr, D.G., Hanson, W.B., Hoffman, J.H., Walker, F.C.G. and Nier, A.O. 1977 J. Geophys. Res.

82, 1008.

- Butler, S.E. and Dalgarno, A. 1979 in preparation.
- Chen, A., Johnsen, R. and Biondi, M.A. 1978 J. Chem. Phys. <u>69</u>, 2688.
- Dalgarno, A. 1970 Annales de Geophys. 26, 601.
- Dalgarno, A. Hanson, W.B., Spencer, N.W. and Schmerling, E.R. 1973 Radio Science 8, 263.
- Dotan, I. Albritton, D.L. and Fehsenfeld, F.C. 1979 J. Chem. Phys. in press.
- Fehsenfeld, F.C. 1977 Planet Spa. Sci. 25, 195.
- Fehsenfeld, F.C., Dunkin, D.B. and Ferguson, E.E. 1970 Planet. Spa. Sci. 18, 1267.
- Ferguson, E.E. 1968 Adv. Electron. Phys. 24, 1.
- Ferguson, E.E., Fehsenfeld, F.C. and Albritton, D.L. 1979 in Ion-molecule reactions. Ed. M.T. Bowers.
- Frederick, J.E., Rusch, D.W., Victor, G.A., Sharp, W.E., Hays, P.B. and Brinton, H.C. 1976 J. Geophys. Res. 81, 3923.
- Glosik, J., Rakshit, A.B., Twiddy, N.D., Adams, N.G. and Smith, D. 1978 J. Phys. B. 11, 3365.

- Johnsen, R. and Biondi, M.A. 1978 Geophys. Res. Letters 5, 847.
- Kopp, J.P., Frederick, J.E., Rusch, D.W. and Victor, G.A. 1977
 J. Geophys. Res. 82, 4715.
- Lindinger, W., Albritton, D.L., Fehsenfeld, F.C. and Ferguson, E.E. 1975 J. Geophys. Res. 80, 3725; and J. Chem. Phys. 62, 4101.
- McFarland, M., Albritton, D.L., Fehsenfeld, F.C., Ferguson, E.E. and Schmeltekopf, A.L. 1974 J. Geophys. Res. 79, 2925.
- Michels, H.H. 1979 in The excited state in Chemical Physics Vol II. Ed. J.W. McGowan (Wiley: New York).
- Neynaber, R.H., Rutherford, J.A. and Vroom, D.A. 1977 IRT Corporation Report DNA 4445F.
- Oppenheimer, M., Constantinides, E.R., Kirby-Docken, K., Victor, G.A., Dalgarno, A. and Hoffman, J.H. 1977b J. Geophys. Res. 82, 5685.
- Oppenheimer, M., Dalgarno, A., Trebino, F.P. Brace, L.H., Brinton, H.C. and Hoffman, J.H. 1977a J. Geophys. Res. 82, 191.
- Orsini, N., Torr, D.G., Torr, M.R., Brinton, H.C., Brace, L.H., Nier, A.O. and Walker, J.C.G. 1977 J. Geophys. Res. 82, 4829.
- Pequignot, D., Aldrovandi, S.M.V. and Stasinska, G. 1978 Astron. Ap. 63, 313.
- Ringer, G. 1978 Thesis. University of Minnesota.
- Rusch, D.W., Torr. D.G., Hays, P.B. and Walker, J.C.G. 1977
 J. Goephys. Res. <u>82</u>, 719.
- Smith, D., Adams, N.G. and Miller, T.M. 1978 J. Chem. Phys. 69, 308.

- St.-Maurice, J.P. and Schunk, R.W 1979 Rev. Geophys. Spa. Phys. 17, 99.
- St.-Maurice, J.P. and Torr, D.G. 1978 J. Geophys. Res. 83, 969.
- Swider, W. 1978 Air Force Geophysics Laboratory Report: AFGL-TR-78-0274.
- Torr, D.G., Donahue, K. Rusch, D.W., Torr, M.R., Nier, A.O. Kayser, D. Hanson, W.B. and Hoffman, J.B. 1979 J. Geophys. Res. 84, 387.
- Torr, D.G. and Orsini, N. 1977 Planet. Spa. Sci. 25, 1171.
- Torr, D.G. and Orsini, N. 1978 Geophys. Res. Letters 5, 657.
- Torr, D.G. and Torr, M.R. 1978 Rev. Geophys. Spa. Phys. <u>16</u>, 327.
- Torr, D.G., Torr, M.R. Rusch, D.W., Hays, P.B., Mauersberger, K., Walker, J.C.G., Spencer, N.W., Hedin, A.E., Brinton, H.C. and Theis, R.F. 1976 Geophys Res. Letters 3, 1.
- Torr, M.R., Hoffman, R.A., Hanson, W.B., Hoffman, J.H., Torr, D.G., Peterson, W.K. and Walker, J.C.G. 1975 Planet. Spa. Sci. 23, 1669.
- Victor, G.A. 1979 Private communication
- Zipf, E.C. 1978 Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 59, 336.

DNA Distribution List

Department of Defense

Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209

1 cy ATTN: TIO 1 cy ATTN: STO 1 cy ATTN: NRMO

Director Defense Communications Agency 8th Street and Courthouse Road Arlington, VA 22204

3 cys ATTN: MEECN Office

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

12 cys ATTN: TC

Director Defense Nuclear Agency Washington, D. C. 20305

> 1 cy ATTN: STTL 1 cy ATTN: DDST 3 cys ATTN: RAAE 1 cy ATTN: RAEV

Department of Defense (Continued)

Joint Chiefs of Staff Department of Defense Washington, D. C. 20301

1 cy ATTN: J-6

Director National Security Agency Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

2 cys ATTN: Technical Library

Under Secretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) Department of Defense Washington, D. C. 20301

2 cys ATTN: DDS&SS

Department of Commerce

U. S. Department of Commerce Office of Telecommunications Institute for Telecommunication Sciences National Telecommunications and Information Administration Boulder, CO 80303

2 cys ATTN: W. F. Utlaut

Department of the Army

Commander/Director Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory U. S. Army Electronics Command White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

> 1 cy ATTN: DRSEL-BL-SY-S F. E. Niles

Director U. S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD 21005

1 cy ATTN: George E. Keller

Commander U. S. Army Foreign Sciences and Technology Center 220 7th Street, N.E. Charlottesville, VA 22901

1 cy ATTN: Robert Jones

Department of the Navy

Chief of Naval Operations Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20350

> 1 cy ATTN: NOP 985 1 cy ATTN: NOP 094H

Department of the Navy (Continued)

Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217

> 1 cy ATTN: Code 465, R. G. Joiner 1 cy ATTN: Code 427, H. Mullaney

Commander
Naval Electronic Systems Command
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20360

1 cy ATTN: PME-117 1 cy ATTN: PME-117T 1 cy ATTN: PME-117-21 1 cy ATTN: PME-117-21A 1 cy ATTN: PME-117-22

Director Naval Ocean Systems Center Electromagnetic Propagation Division 271 Catalina Boulevard San Diego, CA 92152

> 1 cy ATTN: Code 2200, W.F. Moler 1 cy ATTN: Code 2200, Ilan Rothmuller 1 cy ATTN: Code 2200, John Bickel

Director Naval Research Laboratory 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20375

> 1 cy ATTN: Code 7700, Timothy P. Coffey 1 cy ATTN: Code 7709, Wahab Ali 2 cys ATTN: Code 7750, John Davis 1 cy ATTN: Code 2627

Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center (White Oak) Silver Spring, MD 20910

1 cy ATTN: Technical Library

Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91106

1 cy

Department of the Air Force

Commander Air Force Geophysical Laboratory, AFSC L.G. Hanscom Air Force Base, MA 01731

1 cy ATTN: OPR, James Ulwick 1 cy ATTN: LKB, W. Swider 1 cy ATTN: LKB, K. Champion

Director Air Force Technical Applications Center Patrick Air Force Base, FL 32920

> 1 cy ATTN: TD 1 cy ATTN: HQ 1035th TCHOG/TFS

Department of Defense Contractors

General Electric Company TEMPO - Center for Advanced Studies 816 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93102

> 1 cy ATTN: Warren S. Knapp 1 cy ATTN: DASIAC

Lockheed Missiles and Space Company 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304

> 1 cy ATTN: J. B. Reagan 1 cy ATTN: W. Imhof 1 cy ATTN: Martin Walt

Mission Research Corporation 735 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

> 1 cy ATTN: M. Scheibe 1 cy ATTN: D. Sowle

Pacific-Sierra Research Corporation 1456 Cloverfield Boulevard Santa Monica, CA 90404

1 cy ATTN: E. C. Field

Pennsylvania State University Ionospheric Research Laboratory College of Engineering 318 Electrical Engineering - East Wing University Park, PA 16802

> 1 cy ATTN: John S. Nisbet 1 cy ATTN: Les Hale 1 cy ATTN: A. J. Ferraro

1 cy ATTN: H. S. Lee

R&D Associates 4640 Admiralty Way Marina Del Rey, CA 90291

> 1 cy ATTN: R. Lelevier 1 cy ATTN: F. Gilmore 1 cy ATTN: R. Turco

The Rand Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, CA 90406

1 cy ATTN: Cullen Crain

Professor Chalmers F. Sechrist 155 Electrical Engineering Building University of Illinois Urbana, IL 61801

1 cy ATTN: C. Sechrist

Stanford Research Institute 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025

> 1 cy ATTN: Allen M. Peterson 1 cy ATTN: Ray L. Leadabrand

University of Pittsburgh Dept. of Physics & Astronomy Pittsburgh, PA. 15260

> 1 cy ATTN: M.A.Biondi 1 cy ATTN: F.Kaufman 1 cy ATTN: W.Fite

General Electric CO., Space Division, VFSC Goddard Blvd., King of Prussia P.O.Box 8555 Philadelphia, PA 19101

> 1 cy ATTN: M.H.Bortner 1 cy ATTN: T.Baurer

National Oceanic & Atmospheric Admin. Environmental Research Laboratories Dept. of Commerce Boulder, CO 80302

> 1 cy ATTN: E.E.Ferguson 1 cy ATTN: F.Fehsenfeld